PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



From the

INTERNATIONAL	DDEI IMINIADV	FYAMINING	ATITHODITY
INTERNATIONAL	PRELIMINARY	EXAMINING	AUTHURITY

To: EDMUND J. WALSH WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of Mailing (day/month/year) 09 SEP 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

L0632.70016 WQQQ

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/US04/12110

20 April 2004 (20.04.2004)

23 April 2003 (23.04.2003)

Applicant

COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY AND DETECTION SYSTEMS CORP

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Initials

Applicant's Guide.

Confirmation Docketing

11/09/05"

SEP 1 3 2005

DOCKETED

Name and mailing address of the IPEAOS

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/ US Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Craig E. Church

Authorized off

Telephone No. 703-308-1234

Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	EOD EUDTHED ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International		
L0632.70016	FOR FURTHER ACTION	Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No.	International filing date (day/mon	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US04/12110	20 April 2004 (20.04.2004)	23 April 2003 (23.04.2003)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) of				
IPC(7): G01N 23/04 and US Cl.: 378/57				
Applicant				
COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY AND	DETECTION SYSTEMS CORP			
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). 				
These annexes consist of a	total of sheets.			
3. This report contains indicat	tions relating to the following ite	ems:		
I 🔀 Basis of the repo	ort			
II Priority				
	ent of report with regard to nove	lty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
IV Lack of unity of	-			
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Certain documen				
VII Certain defects in	n the international application	!		
	ions on the international applica	tion		
<u> </u>				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report		
09 May 2005 (09.05.2005)	06 July	06 July 2005 (06.07.2005)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US		Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/ US Commissioner for Patents		Craig E. Church		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. 703-308-1234		
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		<u> </u>		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.	
PCT/US04/12110	

I.	Basi	s of the report
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed.
	\boxtimes	the description:
		pages 1-26 as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the claims:
	K31	pages 27-39, as originally filed
		pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE, filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the drawings:
	لاست	pages 1-10 , as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	Ш	the sequence listing part of the description: pages NONE , as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of
2.	langu	regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the large in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. e elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the national preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the international application in printed form.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages
		the claims, Nos
		the drawings, sheets/fig_
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
this	Replac repoi	ement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). Splacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)

International application No. PCT/US04/12110

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. STATEMENT Novelty (N) Claims 1-84			14	
Novelty (N) Claims 1-84				tep or industrial applicability;
Inventive Step (IS) Claims NONE Claims 1-84 NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-84 Claims NONE Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Krug in view of Stein 199. Krug teaches an x-ray system for threat inspection of baggage comprising x-ray source 40, conveyor 20, detectors 60/80/100, and a computer (figure 7). Lines 29-33 and 50-53 of column 16 explain that data processing may include pulse height analysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer, and it would have been obvious to operate the Krug analyzer in this manner. Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Stein 002 in view of Stein 199. Stein 002 teaches an x-ray baggage inspection system comprising x-ray source 14, conveyor 27, detector 25 and computer 13. Figure 1c illustrates that data processing may include pulse height analyzer.	1. STATEMENT			
Inventive Step (IS) Claims NONE Claims 1-84 NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-84 Claims 1-84 Claims NONE Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Krug in view of Stein 199. Krug teaches an x-ray system for threat inspection of baggage comprising x-ray source 40, conveyor 20, detectors 60/80/100, and a computer (figure 7). Lines 29-33 and 50-53 of column 16 explain that data processing may include pulse height analysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer, and it would have been obvious to operate the Krug analyzer in this manner. Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Stein 002 in view of Stein 199. Stein 002 teaches an x-ray baggage inspection system comprising x-ray source 14, conveyor 27, detector 25 and computer 13. Figure 1c illustrates that data processing may include pulse height analyzer.	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-84	YES
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-84 Claims 1-84 Claims 1-84 Claims 1-84 Claims 1-84 Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Krug in view of Stein 199. Krug teaches an x-ray system for threat inspection of baggage comprising x-ray source 40, conveyor 20, detectors 60/80/100, and a computer (figure 7). Lines 29-33 and 50-53 of column 16 explain that data processing may include pulse height analysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer, and it would have been obvious to operate the Krug analyzer in this manner. Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Stein 002 in view of Stein 199. Stein 002 teaches an x-ray baggage inspection system comprising x-ray source 14, conveyor 27, detector 25 and computer 13. Figure 1c illustrates that data processing may include pulse height analysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer.				NO
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-84 Claims 1-84 Claims 1-84 Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Krug in view of Stein 199. Krug teaches an x-ray system for threat inspection of baggage comprising x-ray source 40, conveyor 20, detectors 60/80/100, and a computer (figure 7). Lines 29-33 and 50-53 of column 16 explain that data processing may include pulse height analysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer, and it would have been obvious to operate the Krug analyzer in this manner. Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Stein 002 in view of Stein 199. Stein 002 teaches an x-ray baggage inspection system comprising x-ray source 14, conveyor 27, detector 25 and computer 13. Figure 1c illustrates that data processing may include pulse height analyzer.	Inventive Sten (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Krug in view of Stein 199. Krug teaches an x-ray system for threat inspection of baggage comprising x-ray source 40, conveyor 20, detectors 60/80/100, and a computer (figure 7). Lines 29-33 and 50-53 of column 16 explain that data processing may include pulse height analysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer, and it would have been obvious to operate the Krug analyzer in this manner. Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Stein 002 in view of Stein 199. Stein 002 teaches an x-ray baggage inspection system comprising x-ray source 14, conveyor 27, detector 25 and computer 13. Figure 1c illustrates that data processing may include pulse height analysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer.	inventive step (to)			
Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Krug in view of Stein 199. Krug teaches an x-ray system for threat inspection of baggage comprising x-ray source 40, conveyor 20, detectors 60/80/100, and a computer (figure 7). Lines 29-33 and 50-53 of column 16 explain that data processing may include pulse height analysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer, and it would have been obvious to operate the Krug analyzer in this manner. Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Stein 002 in view of Stein 199. Stein 002 teaches an x-ray baggage inspection system comprising x-ray source 14, conveyor 27, detector 25 and computer 13. Figure 1c illustrates that data processing may include pulse height analysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer.	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-84	YES
Clains 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Krug in view of Stein 199. Krug teaches an x-ray system for threat inspection of baggage comprising x-ray source 40, conveyor 20, detectors 60/80/100, and a computer (figure 7). Lines 29-33 and 50-53 of column 16 explain that data processing may include pulse height analysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer, and it would have been obvious to operate the Krug analyzer in this manner. Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT article 33(3) as being unpatentable over Stein 002 in view of Stein 199. Stein 002 teaches an x-ray baggage inspection system comprising x-ray source 14, conveyor 27, detector 25 and computer 13. Figure 1c illustrates that data processing may include pulse height analysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer.	musural Application (111)			
	Clains 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT x-ray system for threat inspection of baggage compr Lines 29-33 and 50-53 of column 16 explain that da 199 detail operation of a pulse height analyzer, and it Claims 1-84 lack Inventive Step set out under PCT teaches an x-ray baggage inspection system comprise that data processing may include pulse height analyse.	rising x-ray source ta processing ma it would have be article 33(3) as I sing x-ray source sis. Lines 43-56	ce 40, conveyor 20, detector ay include pulse height anal- ten obvious to operate the K being unpatentable over Ste e 14, conveyor 27, detector 2 of column 7 of Stein 199 de	rs 60/80/100, and a computer (figure 7). ysis. Lines 43-56 of column 7 of Stein trug analyzer in this manner. in 002 in view of Stein 199. Stein 002 25 and computer 13. Figure 1c illustrates